Exercises for Lecture Course on Numerical Optimization (NUMOPT) Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg – Winter Term 2019-2020

Exercise 3: Unconstrained Newton-type Optimization, Globalization Strategies

Prof. Dr. Moritz Diehl, Dimitris Kouzoupis, Andrea Zanelli and Florian Messerer

Aim of this exercise is to become familiar with different Newton-type methods and learn their characteristics in practice. You will then combine a Quasi-Newton-method with globalization strategies to write your own solver for the hanging chain problem.

Exercise Tasks

- 1. **Regularization:** Prove that a regularized Newton-type step $x_{k+1} = x_k (B_k + \alpha I)^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)$, with $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ a (symmetric) Hessian approximation, α a positive scalar and I the identity matrix of suitable dimension, converges to a small gradient step $x_{k+1} = x_k \frac{1}{\alpha} \nabla f(x_k)$ as $\alpha \to \infty$. (2 points)
- 2. **Unconstrained minimization:** In this task we will implement different Newton-type methods that minimize the nonlinear function

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(10(y-x^2))^2 + \frac{1}{2}y^2,$$
 (1)

with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. You can use the provided MATLAB script to get an idea of the shape of the function.

(a) Derive, first on paper, the gradient and Hessian matrix of the function in (1). Then, rewrite it in the form $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}||R(x,y)||_2^2$ where $R: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the residual function. Derive the Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation and compare it with the exact one. When do the two matrices coincide?

(2 points)

- (b) Implement your own Newton method with exact Hessian information and full steps. Start from the initial point $(x_0, y_0) = (-1, 1)$ and use as termination condition $||\nabla f(x_k, y_k)||_2 \le 10^{-3}$. Keep track of the iterates (x_k, y_k) and add them to the provided contour plot. (2 points)
- (c) Update your code to use the Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation instead. Plot the difference between exact and approximate Hessian as a function of the iterations (evaluate both the exact and the Gauss-Newton Hessian at the iterates generated by the Gauss-Newton algorithm). Use the MATLAB function norm to measure this difference.

(2 points)

(d) Check how the steepest descent method performs on this example. Your Hessian approximation now is αI where α is a positive scalar and I the identity matrix. Try $\alpha=100,200$ and 500. For which values does your algorithm converge?

(1 point)

(e) Compare the performance of the implemented methods. Consider the iteration path (x_k, y_k) , the number of iterations and the run time. You can use MATLAB's tic toc to measure time. (1 point)

- 3. Lifted Newton method: Consider the scalar nonlinear function $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $F(w) = w^{16} 2$.
 - (a) Implement in MATLAB the Newton method in order to numerically find a root of F(w). Use $||F(w)||_2 < 10^{-12}$ as convergence criterion. Plot how the residuals evolve. Test the algorithm for different initial guesses and analyze the convergence behaviour of the algorithm.

(1 point)

(b) Implement now a Newton-type algorithm that exploits a fixed approximation of the Jacobian

$$w^{k+1} = w^k - M^{-1}F(w^k),$$

where $M = \nabla^{\top} F(w_0)$ is the Jacobian of F at the initial guess w_0 . Use the conditions for local Newton-Type convergence (Theorem 8.4) to derive a bound on the convergence region. Test numerically for which region(s) of initial values w_0 the algorithm converges (in 10^4 iterations or less).

(2 points)

(c) An equivalent problem to (a) can be obtained by *lifting* the original one to a higher dimensional space

$$\tilde{F}(w) = \begin{bmatrix} w_2 & - & w_1^2 \\ w_3 & - & w_2^2 \\ w_4 & - & w_3^2 \\ -2 & + & w_4^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Implement the Newton method for this lifted problem and compare the convergence of the two algorithms. Use $w_0 = 100$. Initialize all variables w_i of the lifted method at this value.

(1 point)

(d) Show that the Newton method is guaranteed to converge to a root (if it exists) for the root finding problem f(x) = 0, where f is any strictly monotonically increasing convex differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

(1 point)

- 4. Convergence of damped Newton's method: Let f be a twice continuously differentiable function satisfying $LI \succeq \nabla^2 f(x) \succeq mI$ for some L > m > 0 and let x^* be the unique minimizer of f over \mathbb{R}^n .
 - (a) Show that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$f(x) - f(x^*) \ge \frac{m}{2} ||x - x^*||_2^2,$$

(1 point)

(b) Let $\{x_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ be the sequence generated by the damped Newton's method with constant stepsize $t_k=\frac{m}{L}$. Show that:

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \ge \frac{m}{2L} \nabla f(x_k)^{\top} (\nabla^2 f(x_k))^{-1} \nabla f(x_k).$$

(2 points)

(c) Show that $x_k \to x^*$ as $k \to \infty$.

(2 points)

5. **Hanging chain, revisited:** In this task you will solve the unconstrained minimization problem of the hanging chain using the BFGS method in combination with back tracking and the Armijo condition. Consider the non-convex case where the N+1 springs can be both compressed and expanded from their rest length $L_i = L/(N+1)$. Recall that in this case the objective function is given by:

$$V_{\text{chain}}(y,z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N} D(\sqrt{(y_i - y_{i+1})^2 + (z_i - z_{i+1})^2} - L_i)^2 + g_0 \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} m z_i.$$
 (2)

Note that the indices range from 0 to N+1. This is in order to fix the chain ends without formulating an equality constraint, i.e., $y_0 = -2$, $y_{N+1} = 2$ and $z_0 = z_{N+1} = 1$ are treated as parameters. Choosing the indices like this we still have decision variables y_1, \ldots, y_N and z_1, \ldots, z_N . The provided MATLAB function [F] = hc_obj(x,param) returns the value of this nonlinear function for a given set of parameters defined in the data structure param and a point x ordered as $\mathbf{x} = [y_1, z_1, \ldots, y_N, z_N]^{\mathsf{T}}$.

(a) Write your own MATLAB function [F, J] = finite_difference(fun, x, param) that calculates the function value and the Jacobian of function fun at x using finite differences. Note that the argument fun is a function handle. You can then call your function using the syntax [F, J] = finite_difference(@hc_fun, x, param) to evaluate the Jacobian of our objective at x. Calling eps in MATLAB returns floating point precision.

(2 points)

(b) Complete the template file main.m to find the rest position of the hanging chain using the steepest descent method with backtracking and the Armijo condition.

(2 points)

(c) Now update your code to perform BFGS updates on your Hessian approximation. How many iterations does your new scheme need to converge?

Remark: The BFGS Hessian approximation is guaranteed to be positive-definite if and only if the curvature condition $s^Ty>0$ holds. A common workaround to ensure that the search direction is always a descent direction is to check weather this condition holds or not and to skip the BFGS update in case positive-definiteness is not guaranteed.

(2 points)