Exercises for Lecture Course on Numerical Optimal Control (NOC) Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg – Winter Term 2025 / 26

Exercise 3: Equality Constrained Optimization

Prof. Dr. Moritz Diehl, Andrea Zanelli, Dimitris Kouzoupis, Florian Messerer, Yizhen Wang, Armin Nurkanović

In this sheet we will build on the previous exercise by implementing a Newton-type algorithm for equality constrained problems and looking into linear independence constraint qualification.

1. **Newton method for equality constrained problems.** Consider the following equality constrained optimization problem:

$$\min_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} (x-1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (10(y-x^2))^2 + \frac{1}{2} x^2$$
(1a)

s.t.
$$\underbrace{x + (1 - y)^2}_{=: g(x,y)} = 0.$$
 (1b)

In this exercise we will implement a simple Newton-type algorithm that can be used to solve problem (1).

- (a) Compute on paper the gradients of f and g and their Hessian.
- (b) Write on paper the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions for problem (1). Are these conditions necessary for optimality? Are they sufficient?
- (c) In the provided template implement f and g and their Jacobians and Hessians as CasADi functions.
- (d) The KKT conditions derived in (b) can be written in compact form as

$$r(w) = 0, (2)$$

where $w := (x, y, \lambda)$ and λ is the Lagrange multiplier associated with the equality constraint g(x, y) = 0. Using the template provided, implement the following Newton-type method:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - M^{-1}r(w_k), (3)$$

where $M_k \approx \nabla r(w_k)$ is an approximation of the Jacobian of r. One block of $\nabla r(w_k)$ corresponds to the Hessian of the Lagrangian of (1). Test your implementation with two different Hessian approximations: i) $B_k = \rho I_2$ for different values of ρ and ii) the exact Hessian $B_k = \nabla^2 f(x_k, y_k) + \lambda \nabla^2 g(x_k, y_k)$. Initialize the iterates at $w_0 = (1, -1, 1)$ and run the algorithm for N = 100 iterations. Plot the iterates in the x-y space. When using the fixed Hessian approximation, does the algorithm converge for $\rho = 100$? And for $\rho = 600$?

2. Linear independence constraint qualification. Consider the problem of finding the optimal way of throwing a ball as far as possible within a fixed time window [0, T]. The dynamics of the system in two dimensional space can be modeled by the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_y &&= v_y, \\ \dot{p}_z &&= v_z, \\ \dot{v}_y &&= -(v_y - w) \left\| v - [w, \ 0]^T \right\| d, \\ \dot{v}_z &&= -v_z \left\| v - [w, \ 0]^T \right\| d - g, \end{aligned}$$

with state $x = (p_y, p_z, v_y, v_z)$, where p_y and p_z represent the y and z coordinate of the ball respectively and v_y and v_z the components of its velocity. The ball is subject to drag force with drag coefficient d, side wind w and gravitational acceleration g. The initial state is given by $x(0) = \bar{x}_0$, where $\bar{x}_0 = (p_y^0, p_z^0, v_y^0, v_z^0)$. In order to throw the ball, we need to pick the initial velocity $v^0 = (v_y^0, v_z^0)$, for a given initial position. Denote by $p_y^{\rm f}(v^0), p_z^{\rm f}(v^0)$ the final position of the ball after simulating forward over time T for the initial velocity v^0 , using the RK4 scheme for integration. Furthermore, the final position of the ball should be above ground, which has a flat region and a region with slope α . We can formulate our goal as the optimization problem

$$\min_{v^{0}} - p_{y}^{f}(v^{0}) \tag{4a}$$
s.t.
$$-p_{z}^{f}(v^{0}) \leq 0, \tag{4b}$$

$$-\alpha(p_{y}^{f}(v^{0}) - 10) - p_{z}^{f}(v^{0}) \leq 0, \tag{4c}$$

s.t.
$$-p_z^{\rm f}(v^0) \le 0,$$
 (4b)

$$-\alpha(p_y^{f}(v^0) - 10) - p_z^{f}(v^0) \le 0, \tag{4c}$$

$$||v||_2^2 \le \bar{v}^2. \tag{4d}$$

The slope α is an externally set parameter of the optimization problem and may take values as $\alpha \in [-1, 1].$

- (a) Implement the differential equation of the system in the provided ballistic_dynamics.m.
- (b) Using the provided template, implement and solve the optimization problem for different values of $\alpha \in [-1,1]$. The template shows how the NLP constructed by CasADi can be parametrized by α . Like this the value of α can be conveniently changed without needing to construct a new NLP each time. Plot the normalized gradients of the constraints as three vectors. What happens when $\alpha = 0$? For $\alpha \geq \bar{\alpha}$ for some $\bar{\alpha} \geq 0$ the problem becomes infeasible. What happens to the three vectors as α approaches $\bar{\alpha}$? It is not required to compute the exact value of $\bar{\alpha}$.