Parameter Estimation of Linear Dynamical Systems with Gaussian Noise

Léo Simpson

February 17, 2023

Léo Simpson

February 17, 2023 1 / 37

- Problem Statement
- 2 Description of the method
- Open questions

The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

• This Ph.D. is part of the ELO-X program, an E.U. grant for Ph.D. programs in control coupled with learning.

General introduction

• This Ph.D. is part of the ELO-X program, an E.U. grant for Ph.D. programs in control coupled with learning.



• The project is hosted by Tool-Temp: a company in Switzerland manufacturing Temperature Control Units.

General introduction

• This Ph.D. is part of the ELO-X program, an E.U. grant for Ph.D. programs in control coupled with learning.

• The project is hosted by Tool-Temp: a company in Switzerland manufacturing Temperature Control Units.



General introduction

• This Ph.D. is part of the ELO-X program, an E.U. grant for Ph.D. programs in control coupled with learning.

• The project is hosted by Tool-Temp: a company in Switzerland manufacturing Temperature Control Units.



• This project led to the submission of a paper for ECC 2023. ¹

¹L. Simpson, A. Ghezzi, J. Asprion and M. Diehl, "Parameter Estimation of Linear Dynamical Systems with Gaussian Noise," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.12302*, 2022.

1 Problem Statement

- 2 Description of the method
- Open questions
- The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

Parametric Linear Dynamical Model with Gaussian Noise

Dynamical model:

$$egin{aligned} & \chi_{k+1} &= A_k(\alpha) \, \mathbf{x}_k + b_k(\alpha) + \mathbf{w}_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & \mathbf{y}_k &= C_k(\alpha) \, \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic model:

$$\begin{split} & \textit{w}_{\textit{k}} \sim \mathcal{N}\big(0_{\textit{n}_{\textit{x}}}, \textit{Q}_{\textit{k}}\big(\beta\big)\big), & \textit{k} = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & \textit{v}_{\textit{k}} \sim \mathcal{N}\big(0_{\textit{n}_{\textit{y}}}, \textit{R}_{\textit{k}}\big(\beta\big)\big), & \textit{k} = 0, \dots, N, \\ & \textit{x}_{0} \sim \mathcal{N}\big(\hat{\textit{x}}_{0|-1}, \textit{P}_{0|-1}\big) \end{split}$$

Parametric Linear Dynamical Model with Gaussian Noise

Dynamical model:

$$egin{aligned} & \chi_{k+1} &= A_k(\alpha) \, \mathbf{x}_k + b_k(\alpha) + \mathbf{w}_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & \mathbf{y}_k &= C_k(\alpha) \, \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic model:

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{w}_k \sim \mathcal{N}\big(\mathsf{0}_{n_x}, Q_k(\beta)\big), & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & \mathsf{v}_k \sim \mathcal{N}\big(\mathsf{0}_{n_y}, R_k(\beta)\big), & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ & \mathsf{x}_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathsf{x}}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1}) \end{split}$$

• The functions $A_k(\cdot)$, $b_k(\cdot)$ and $C_k(\cdot)$ are known functions that parameterize the model.

	<u><u><u></u></u></u>	
L èo	Simpson	
Leo	Junpson	

Parametric Linear Dynamical Model with Gaussian Noise

Dynamical model:

$$egin{aligned} & \chi_{k+1} &= A_k(\alpha) \, \mathbf{x}_k + b_k(\alpha) + \mathbf{w}_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & \mathbf{y}_k &= C_k(\alpha) \, \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic model:

$$\begin{split} & \textit{w}_{\textit{k}} \sim \mathcal{N}\big(0_{\textit{n}_{\textit{x}}}, \textit{Q}_{\textit{k}}\big(\beta\big)\big), & \textit{k} = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & \textit{v}_{\textit{k}} \sim \mathcal{N}\big(0_{\textit{n}_{\textit{y}}}, \textit{R}_{\textit{k}}\big(\beta\big)\big), & \textit{k} = 0, \dots, N, \\ & \textit{x}_{0} \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1}) \end{split}$$

The functions A_k(·), b_k(·) and C_k(·) are known functions that parameterize the model.
The functions Q_k(·) and R_k(·) parameterize the uncertainty model.

Parametric Linear Dynamical Model with Gaussian Noise

Dynamical model:

$$egin{aligned} & \chi_{k+1} &= A_k(\alpha) \, \mathbf{x}_k + b_k(\alpha) + \mathbf{w}_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & \mathbf{y}_k &= C_k(\alpha) \, \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic model:

$$\begin{split} & w_k \sim \mathcal{N}\big(0_{n_x}, Q_k(\beta)\big), & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & v_k \sim \mathcal{N}\big(0_{n_y}, R_k(\beta)\big), & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ & x_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1}) \end{split}$$

- The functions $A_k(\cdot)$, $b_k(\cdot)$ and $C_k(\cdot)$ are known functions that parameterize the model.
- The functions $Q_k(\cdot)$ and $R_k(\cdot)$ parameterize the uncertainty model.
- Prior knowledge in the form $h(\alpha, \beta) \ge 0$.

Parametric Linear Dynamical Model with Gaussian Noise

Dynamical model:

$$egin{aligned} & \chi_{k+1} &= A_k(\alpha) \, x_k + b_k(\alpha) + w_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N-1, \ & y_k &= C_k(\alpha) \, x_k + v_k, & k &= 0, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic model:

$$\begin{split} & w_k \sim \mathcal{N}\big(0_{n_x}, Q_k(\beta)\big), & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ & v_k \sim \mathcal{N}\big(0_{n_y}, R_k(\beta)\big), & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ & x_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1}) \end{split}$$

- The functions $A_k(\cdot)$, $b_k(\cdot)$ and $C_k(\cdot)$ are known functions that parameterize the model.
- The functions $Q_k(\cdot)$ and $R_k(\cdot)$ parameterize the uncertainty model.
- Prior knowledge in the form $h(\alpha, \beta) \ge 0$.

Typical use-case

Parametric model for off-set free MPC

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= A(u_k; \alpha) x_k + b(u_k; \alpha) + w_k^{\times}, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ d_{k+1} &= d_k + w_k^d, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ y_k &= C(\alpha) x_k + d_k + v_k, & k = 0, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathsf{x}} \\ \mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathsf{d}} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathsf{x}}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathsf{y}}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1}I_{n_{\mathsf{x}}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \beta_{2}I_{n_{\mathsf{y}}} \end{bmatrix} \right), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1,$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{k} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathsf{y}}}, \beta_{3}I_{n_{\mathsf{y}}}), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N,$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{0} \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1})$$

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Typical use-case

Parametric model for off-set free MPC

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= A(u_k; \alpha) x_k + b(u_k; \alpha) + w_k^{\times}, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ d_{k+1} &= d_k + w_k^d, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ y_k &= C(\alpha) x_k + d_k + v_k, & k = 0, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathsf{x}} \\ \mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathsf{d}} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n_{x}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n_{y}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1}I_{n_{x}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \beta_{2}I_{n_{y}} \end{bmatrix} \right), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1,$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{k} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_{y}}, \beta_{3}I_{n_{y}}), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N,$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{0} \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1})$$

• To perform MPC, some parameter of the model often needs to be tuned.

Typical use-case

Parametric model for off-set free MPC

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= A(u_k; \alpha) x_k + b(u_k; \alpha) + w_k^{\times}, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ d_{k+1} &= d_k + w_k^d, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ y_k &= C(\alpha) x_k + d_k + v_k, & k = 0, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathsf{x}} \\ \mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathsf{d}} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathsf{x}}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathsf{y}}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1}I_{n_{\mathsf{x}}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \beta_{2}I_{n_{\mathsf{y}}} \end{bmatrix} \right), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{k} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathsf{y}}}, \beta_{3}I_{n_{\mathsf{y}}} \right), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N,$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1} \right)$$

- To perform MPC, some parameter of the model often needs to be tuned.
- A disturbance model is also often needed to design a state estimator, espacially for offset-free MPC.

Léo Simpson

Random walk model

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + w_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$y_k = x_k + v_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, N,$$

$$w_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, q), \quad k = 0, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$v_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, r), \quad k = 0, \dots, N,$$

$$x_0 = 0,$$

$$\beta = [q \ r]$$

Two examples: the random walk model

Random walk model

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + w_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$y_k = x_k + v_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, N,$$

$$w_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, q), \quad k = 0, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$v_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, r), \quad k = 0, \dots, N,$$

$$x_0 = 0,$$

$$\beta = [q \ r]$$



э

Image: A math a math

Two examples: the random walk model

Random walk model

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + w_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$y_k = x_k + v_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, N,$$

$$w_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, q), \quad k = 0, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$v_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, r), \quad k = 0, \dots, N,$$

$$x_0 = 0,$$

$$\beta = [q \ r]$$



A heat transfer system

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{x_1}^+ &= (1 - \frac{1}{\tau_1})\mathbf{x_1} + \frac{b}{\tau_1}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{x_2}^+ &= (1 - \frac{2}{\tau_2})\mathbf{x_2} + \frac{2}{\tau_2}\mathbf{x_1}, \\ \mathbf{x_3}^+ &= (1 - \frac{2}{\tau_2})\mathbf{x_3} + \frac{2}{\tau_2}\mathbf{x_2}, \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{x_3} + \mathbf{v}, \\ \mathbf{w} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10^{-3}), \\ \mathbf{v} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10^{-3}), \\ \mathbf{x_0} &= 0, \\ \alpha &= \begin{bmatrix} 1}{\tau_1} & \frac{2}{\tau_2} & \frac{b}{\tau_1} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

A heat transfer system

$$\begin{split} x_1^{+} &= (1 - \frac{1}{\tau_1})x_1 + \frac{b}{\tau_1}u + w \\ x_2^{+} &= (1 - \frac{2}{\tau_2})x_2 + \frac{2}{\tau_2}x_1, \\ x_3^{+} &= (1 - \frac{2}{\tau_2})x_3 + \frac{2}{\tau_2}x_2, \\ y &= x_3 + v, \\ w &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10^{-3}), \\ v &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10^{-3}), \\ x_0 &= 0, \\ \alpha &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/\tau_1 & \frac{2}{\tau_2} & \frac{b}{\tau_1} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

<u>Remark</u>: the transfer function is $G(s) = \frac{b}{1+\tau_1 s} \frac{1}{(1+\frac{\tau_2}{m}s)^m} \text{ with } m = 2.$





<u>Remark:</u> the transfer function is $G(s) = \frac{b}{1+\tau_1 s} \frac{1}{(1+\frac{\tau_2}{m}s)^m} \text{ with } m = 2.$



Léo Simpson

D Problem Statement

2 Description of the method

3 Open questions

The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

Problem Statement

2 Description of the method

- The Kalman Filter
- The optimization problem
- Relation with maximum likelihood estimation
- Comparison with Trajectory Optimization
- A small benchmark

3 Open questions

The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

- A KF provides state predictions $\hat{x}_{k+1|k}$, $P_{k+1|k}$ given past measurements y_0, \ldots, y_k .
- The conditional probability law $(x_{k+1} | y_0, \dots, y_k) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{k+1|k}, P_{k+1|k})$ holds.

э

- A KF provides state predictions $\hat{x}_{k+1|k}$, $P_{k+1|k}$ given past measurements y_0, \ldots, y_k .
- The conditional probability law $(x_{k+1} | y_0, \dots, y_k) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{k+1|k}, P_{k+1|k})$ holds.

The equations of the Kalman Filter

$S_k = CP_{k k-1}C^ op + R_k(eta),$	$k=0,\ldots,N,$
$\mathcal{K}_k = \mathcal{P}_{k k-1} \mathcal{C}^\top \mathcal{S}_k^{-1},$	$k=0,\ldots,N,$
$\hat{x}_{k k} = \hat{x}_{k k-1} + \mathcal{K}_k(y_k - \mathcal{C}\hat{x}_{k k-1}),$	$k=0,\ldots,N,$
$P_{k k} = P_{k k-1} - K_k S_k K_k^{\top},$	$k=0,\ldots,N,$
$\hat{x}_{k+1 k} = A_k(\alpha)\hat{x}_{k k} + b_k(\alpha),$	$k=0,\ldots,N-1,$
$P_{k+1 k} = A_k(\alpha) P_{k k-1} A_k(\alpha)^\top + Q_k(\beta),$	$k=0,\ldots,N-1.$

- A KF provides state predictions $\hat{x}_{k+1|k}$, $P_{k+1|k}$ given past measurements y_0, \ldots, y_k .
- The conditional probability law $(x_{k+1} | y_0, \dots, y_k) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{k+1|k}, P_{k+1|k})$ holds.

The equations of the Kalman Filter (different formulation)

$$S_{k} = CP_{k|k-1}C^{\top} + R_{k}(\beta), \qquad k = 0, ..., N,$$

$$L_{k} = A_{k}(\alpha)P_{k|k-1}C^{\top}S_{k}^{-1}, \qquad k = 0, ..., N,$$

$$\hat{x}_{k+1|k} = (A_{k}(\alpha) - L_{k}C)\hat{x}_{k|k-1} + L_{k}y_{k} + b_{k}(\alpha), \qquad k = 0, ..., N-1,$$

$$P_{k+1|k} = A_{k}(\alpha)P_{k|k-1}A_{k}(\alpha)^{\top} - L_{k}S_{k}L_{k}^{\top} + Q_{k}(\beta), \qquad k = 0, ..., N-1.$$

- A KF provides state predictions $\hat{x}_{k+1|k}$, $P_{k+1|k}$ given past measurements y_0, \ldots, y_k .
- The conditional probability law $(x_{k+1} | y_0, \dots, y_k) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{k+1|k}, P_{k+1|k})$ holds.

The equations of the Kalman Filter (different formuation)

$$S_{k} = CP_{k|k-1}C^{\top} + R_{k}(\beta), \qquad k = 0, ..., N,$$

$$L_{k} = A_{k}(\alpha)P_{k|k-1}C^{\top}S_{k}^{-1}, \qquad k = 0, ..., N,$$

$$k_{k+1|k} = (A_{k}(\alpha) - L_{k}C)\hat{x}_{k|k-1} + L_{k}y_{k} + b_{k}(\alpha), \qquad k = 0, ..., N-1,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{k+1|k} = \mathcal{A}_k(\alpha) \mathcal{P}_{k|k-1} \mathcal{A}_k(\alpha)^\top - \mathcal{L}_k \mathcal{S}_k \mathcal{L}_k^\top + \mathcal{Q}_k(\beta), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

We define the functions " $\hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta) \coloneqq C\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$ " and " $S_k(\theta) \coloneqq S_k$ ", with $\theta \coloneqq (\alpha, \beta)$.

- A KF provides state predictions $\hat{x}_{k+1|k}$, $P_{k+1|k}$ given past measurements y_0, \ldots, y_k .
- The conditional probability law $(x_{k+1} | y_0, \dots, y_k) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{k+1|k}, P_{k+1|k})$ holds.

The equations of the Kalman Filter (different formuation)

$$S_{k} = CP_{k|k-1}C^{\top} + R_{k}(\beta), \qquad k = 0, ..., N,$$

$$L_{k} = A_{k}(\alpha)P_{k|k-1}C^{\top}S_{k}^{-1}, \qquad k = 0, ..., N,$$

$$\hat{x}_{k+1|k} = (A_{k}(\alpha) - L_{k}C)\hat{x}_{k|k-1} + L_{k}y_{k} + b_{k}(\alpha), \qquad k = 0, ..., N-1,$$

$$P_{k+1|k} = A_k(\alpha) P_{k|k-1} A_k(\alpha)^{\top} - L_k S_k L_k^{\top} + Q_k(\beta), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

We define the functions " $\hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta) \coloneqq C\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$ " and " $S_k(\theta) \coloneqq S_k$ ", with $\theta \coloneqq (\alpha, \beta)$.

 $\Rightarrow \textbf{Conditional probability law: } (y_k \mid y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}, \theta) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta), S_k(\theta))$

The Kalman Filter in the random walk model example

- We generate data with the random walk model, with covariances $q^* = 0.3$ and $r^* = 0.7$
- We apply a KF with other values of *Q* and *R*.

Random walk model

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{w}_k, \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k, \\ \mathbf{w}_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q}), \\ \mathbf{v}_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}), \\ \mathbf{x}_0 &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$



The Kalman Filter in the random walk model example

- We generate data with the random walk model, with covariances $q^* = 0.3$ and $r^* = 0.7$
- We apply a KF with other values of *Q* and *R*.

Random walk model

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{w}_k, \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k, \\ \mathbf{w}_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q}), \\ \mathbf{v}_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}), \\ \mathbf{x}_0 &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$



The Kalman Filter in the heat transfer example

- We generate data with the heat transfer model, with parameters $au_1^*=20$ and $au_2^*=20$ and $b^*=1.0$
- We apply a KF with other values of τ_1 and τ_2 and b.

Simulation for parameters $\tau_1 = 20, \tau_2 = 20, b = 1.0$



The Kalman Filter in the heat transfer example

- We generate data with the heat transfer model, with parameters $au_1^*=20$ and $au_2^*=20$ and $b^*=1.0$
- We apply a KF with other values of τ_1 and τ_2 and b.

Kalman for filter for parameters $\tau_1 = 20, \tau_2 = 2, b = 0.9$



The Kalman Filter in the heat transfer example

- We generate data with the heat transfer model, with parameters $au_1^*=20$ and $au_2^*=20$ and $b^*=1.0$
- We apply a KF with other values of τ_1 and τ_2 and b.

Kalman for filter for parameters $\tau_1 = 20, \tau_2 = 2, b = 0.9$



	<u><u><u></u></u></u>	
	Simpsor	۰.
LCO	511119301	

Problem Statement

2 Description of the method

• The Kalman Filter

• The optimization problem

- Relation with maximum likelihood estimation
- Comparison with Trajectory Optimization
- A small benchmark

3 Open questions

The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

• One can apply a Kalman Filter to the measurement data for estimated parameters α, β .

< A

э
- One can apply a Kalman Filter to the measurement data for estimated parameters α, β .
- We seek for the parameters resulting in the "best KF".

- One can apply a Kalman Filter to the measurement data for estimated parameters α, β .
- We seek for the parameters resulting in the "best KF".
- We measure the quality of the KF with the prediction error $y_k C\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$.

- One can apply a Kalman Filter to the measurement data for estimated parameters α, β .
- We seek for the parameters resulting in the "best KF".
- We measure the quality of the KF with the prediction error $y_k C\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$.
- This can be refined by considering not only the prediction error, but also its estimated covariance S_k .

- One can apply a Kalman Filter to the measurement data for estimated parameters α, β .
- \bullet We seek for the parameters resulting in the "best KF".
- We measure the quality of the KF with the prediction error $y_k C\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$.
- This can be refined by considering not only the prediction error, but also its estimated covariance S_k .
- This method belongs to the class of *prediction error estimation methods* ² , formulated for a state-space model ³.

³J.Valluru, P. Lakhmani, S.C. Patwardhan and L.T. Biegler, "Development of moving window state and parameter estimators under maximum likelihood and Bayesian frameworks," *Journal of Process Control, vol.* 60, pp. 48-67, 2017

²L. Ljung, "Prediction error estimation methods," *Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 11–21,* 2002.

A first optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left\| y_{k} - \hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta) \right\|^{2} \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \geq 0. \end{array}$$

A first optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left\| y_{k} - \hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta) \right\|^{2} \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \geq 0. \end{array}$$

A more accurate optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left\| y_{k} - \hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta) \right\|_{S_{k}(\theta)^{-1}}^{2} + \log |S_{k}(\theta)| \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \geq 0. \end{array}$$

A more accurate optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left\| y_{k} - \hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta) \right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k}(\theta)^{-1}}^{2} + \log |\mathcal{S}_{k}(\theta)| \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \geq 0. \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{Notations:}}{\|x\|_{M}} \coloneqq x^{\top} M x \\
\|M\| \coloneqq \det(M)$$

A more accurate optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left\| y_{k} - \hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta) \right\|_{S_{k}(\theta)^{-1}}^{2} + \log |S_{k}(\theta)| & \boxed{\frac{\text{Notations:}}{\|x\|_{M} \coloneqq x^{\top} Mx}}{\|x\|_{M} \coloneqq x^{\top} Mx} \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \ge 0. & \|N\| \\ \underset{\alpha,\beta,S,L,x,P}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left\| y_{k} - C\hat{x}_{k|k-1} \right\|_{S_{k}^{-1}}^{2} + \log |S_{k}| \\ \text{subject to} & S_{k} = CP_{k|k-1}C^{\top} + R_{k}(\beta), & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ L_{k} = A_{k}(\alpha)P_{k|k-1}C^{\top}S_{k}^{-1}, & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ \hat{x}_{k+1|k} = (A_{k}(\alpha) - L_{k}C)\hat{x}_{k|k-1} + L_{k}y_{k} + b_{k}(\alpha), & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ P_{k+1|k} = A_{k}(\alpha)P_{k|k-1}A_{k}(\alpha)^{\top} - L_{k}S_{k}L_{k}^{\top} + Q_{k}(\beta), & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ h(\alpha, \beta) \ge 0. \end{array}$$

- We generate data with the heat transfer model, with parameters $au_1^*=20$ and $au_2^*=20$ and $b^*=1.0$
- We apply a KF with other values of τ_1 and τ_2 and b.

Kalman for filter for parameters $\tau_1 = 5.0, \tau_2 = 2.0, b = 0.9$



- We generate data with the heat transfer model, with parameters $au_1^*=20$ and $au_2^*=20$ and $b^*=1.0$
- We apply a KF with other values of τ_1 and τ_2 and b.

Kalman for filter for parameters $\tau_1 = 9.5, \tau_2 = 7.4, b = 0.9$



- We generate data with the heat transfer model, with parameters $au_1^*=20$ and $au_2^*=20$ and $b^*=1.0$
- We apply a KF with other values of τ_1 and τ_2 and b.

Kalman for filter for parameters $\tau_1 = 15.5$, $\tau_2 = 14.6$, b = 1.0



	<u></u>	
L òo	Summeou	•
LEU		

- We generate data with the heat transfer model, with parameters $au_1^*=20$ and $au_2^*=20$ and $b^*=1.0$
- We apply a KF with other values of τ_1 and τ_2 and b.

Kalman for filter for parameters $\tau_1 = 18.5$, $\tau_2 = 18.2$, b = 1.0



	~ .	
L òo	S. 1000	ncon
LEU		USUIT

- We generate data with the heat transfer model, with parameters $au_1^*=20$ and $au_2^*=20$ and $b^*=1.0$
- We apply a KF with other values of τ_1 and τ_2 and b.

Kalman for filter for parameters $\tau_1 = 20.0, \tau_2 = 20.0, b = 1.0$



Problem Statement

2 Description of the method

- The Kalman Filter
- The optimization problem
- Relation with maximum likelihood estimation
- Comparison with Trajectory Optimization
- A small benchmark

3 Open questions

The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

Relation with maximum likelihood estimation

Maximum likelihood estimation

Theorem: The former optimization problem is equivalent to the following

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{maximize}} & p\left(y_0, \ldots, y_N \mid \theta\right) \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \ge 0 \end{array}$

Maximum likelihood estimation

Theorem: The former optimization problem is equivalent to the following

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{maximize}} & p\left(y_0, \ldots, y_N \mid \theta\right) \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \geq 0 \end{array}$

<u>Remark :</u>

For a given prior knowledge on θ , this can easily be related to the Maximum A posteriori Probability (MAP):

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{maximize}} & p\left(\theta \mid y_0, \ldots, y_N\right) & = p\left(\theta\right) \times p\left(y_0, \ldots, y_N \mid \theta\right) \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \ge 0 \end{array}$

▲ ∃ ▶ ∃ < <</p>

Maximum likelihood estimation

Theorem: The former optimization problem is equivalent to the following

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{maximize}} & p\left(y_0,\ldots,y_N \mid \theta\right) \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \geq 0 \end{array}$

<u>Remark :</u>

For a given prior knowledge on θ , this can easily be related to the Maximum A posteriori Probability (MAP):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{maximize}} & p\left(\theta \mid y_0, \ldots, y_N\right) & = p\left(\theta\right) \times p\left(y_0, \ldots, y_N \mid \theta\right) \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \ge 0 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, these two problems are equivalent for a *non-informative prior* i.e. a prior with uniform distribution on the set $\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}} \text{ such that } h(\theta) \geq 0\}$ (if bounded),

Maximum likelihood estimation

Theorem: The former optimization problem is equivalent to the following

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta}{\text{maximize}} & p\left(y_0, \ldots, y_N \mid \theta\right) \\ \text{subject to} & h(\theta) \geq 0 \end{array}$

Proof :

$$p(y_0,\ldots,y_N \mid \theta) = \prod_{k=0}^N p(y_k \mid y_0,\ldots,y_{k-1},\theta) = \prod_{k=0}^N f_{\text{Gauss}}(y_k;\hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta),S_k(\theta)),$$

with $f_{\text{Gauss}}(x;\mu,S) =: (2\pi |S|)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}||x-\mu||_{S^{-1}}}$. Hence the following holds

 $-2\log(p(y_0,...,y_N \mid \theta)) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \|y_k - \hat{y}_{k|k-1}(\theta)\|_{S_k(\theta)^{-1}}^2 + \log|S_k(\theta)| + (N+1)n_y \log(2\pi)$

Problem Statement

2 Description of the method

- The Kalman Filter
- The optimization problem
- Relation with maximum likelihood estimation
- Comparison with Trajectory Optimization
- A small benchmark
- 3 Open questions

The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

Prediction error methods

$$\underset{\alpha,\beta,S,L,x,P}{\text{minimize}} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left\| y_k - C \hat{x}_{k|k-1} \right\|_{S_k^{-1}}^2 + \log |S_k|$$

$$S_{k} = CP_{k|k-1}C^{\top} + R(\beta),$$

$$L_{k} = A_{k}(\alpha)P_{k|k-1}C^{\top}S_{k}^{-1},$$

$$\hat{x}_{k+1|k} = (A_{k}(\alpha) - L_{k}C)\hat{x}_{k|k-1} + L_{k}y_{k} + b_{k}(\alpha),$$

$$P_{k+1|k} = A_{k}(\alpha)P_{k|k}A_{k}(\alpha)^{\top} - L_{k}S_{k}L_{k}^{\top} + Q(\beta),$$

$$h(\alpha, \beta) \ge 0.$$

Trajectory optimization methods

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{\alpha,x,w,v}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N} \|w_{k}\|_{Q(\beta)^{-1}}^{2} + \|v_{k}\|_{R(\beta)^{-1}}^{2} \\ \text{subject to} \\ x_{k+1} = A_{k}(\alpha) x_{k} + b_{k}(\alpha) + w_{k}, \\ y_{k} = C x_{k} + v_{k}, \\ h(\alpha,\beta) \ge 0. \end{array}$$

Comparison with Trajectory Optimization

Prediction error methods

Pros

- Can find the noise covariances Q, R,
- Almost surely convergence theorems,
- Is the maximum likelihood estimator,
- "Single shooting" formulation is possible

Cons

- Designed for linear systems.
- State or disturbance constraints are impossible.

Derived from

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & p\left(\theta \mid y_0, \dots, y_N\right) \\ \end{array}$

Trajectory optimization methods

Pros

- State or disturbance constraints are possible.
- Designed for linear or nonlinear systems.
- Stability theorems

Cons

- Require Q and R as prior knowledge,
- When the probabilistic aspect is significant, sometime fail to estimate some parameters.

Derived from

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & p(x_0, \dots, x_N, \theta) \\ \mathbf{x}, \theta \end{array}$

$$, \mathbf{x}_{N}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{y}_{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{N})$$

Why would Trajectory Optimization fail to estimate β ?

Parametric model for off-set free MPC $\begin{aligned} x^{+} &= A(\boldsymbol{u}; \alpha) x + b(\boldsymbol{u}; \alpha) + w_{x}, \\ d^{+} &= d + w_{d}, \\ y &= C(\alpha) x + d + v, \\ w_{x} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0_{n_{x}}, \sigma_{x}^{2} I_{n_{x}}\right), \\ w_{d} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0_{n_{y}}, \sigma_{d}^{2} I_{n_{y}}\right), \\ v &\sim \mathcal{N}(0_{n_{y}}, \sigma_{y}^{2} I_{n_{y}}). \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{+} &= A(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \mathbf{x} + b(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}) + w_{\mathbf{x}}, \\ \tilde{d}^{+} &= \tilde{d} + \tilde{w}_{d}, \\ \boldsymbol{y} &= C(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \mathbf{x} + \sigma_{d} \tilde{d} + \boldsymbol{v}, \\ w_{\mathbf{x}} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0_{n_{x}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} I_{n_{x}}\right) \\ \tilde{w}_{d} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0_{n_{y}}, I_{n_{y}}\right) \\ \tilde{w}_{d} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0_{n_{y}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^{2} I_{n_{y}}\right). \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{CdV:}}{\tilde{d} = \sigma_{d}^{-1} d, \\ \tilde{w}_{d} &= \sigma_{d}^{-1} w_{d} \end{aligned}$$

Why would Trajectory Optimization fail to estimate β ?

Parametric model for off-set free MPC $x^+ = A(\underline{u}; \alpha)x + b(\underline{u}; \alpha) + w_x,$ $d^+ = d + w_d$ $\mathbf{v} = C(\alpha)\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{v},$ $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{X}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathbf{X}}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{X}}^2 I_{n_{\mathbf{X}}}\right),$ $w_d \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0_{n_u}, \sigma_d^2 I_{n_u}\right),$ $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{v}}^2 I_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}).$

 $\mathbf{x}^+ = A(\mathbf{u}; \alpha)\mathbf{x} + b(\mathbf{u}; \alpha) + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{x}},$ $\tilde{d}^+ = \tilde{d} + \tilde{w}_d$ $\mathbf{v} = C(\alpha)\mathbf{x} + \sigma_d \tilde{\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{v},$ $W_{\mathbf{x}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathbf{x}}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^2 I_{n_{\mathbf{x}}}\right)$ CdV: $\tilde{w}_{d} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0_{n_{v}}, I_{n_{v}}\right) \qquad \tilde{d} = \sigma_{d}^{-1}d,$ $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{v}}^2 I_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}).$ $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_d = \sigma_d^{-1} \mathbf{w}_d$

 \Rightarrow Solution of Trajectory optimization: $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \tilde{d} \approx v \quad \tilde{d}^+ - \tilde{d} \approx 0 \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \approx +\infty$

3

Problem Statement

2 Description of the method

- The Kalman Filter
- The optimization problem
- Relation with maximum likelihood estimation
- Comparison with Trajectory Optimization
- A small benchmark

Open questions

The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

Convergence of the estimator in the random walk model example

- We generate 100 of different values of $\beta^*\coloneqq (q^*,r^*).$
- For each sample, we simulate the random walk model to get measurements y_0, \ldots, y_N .
- $\bullet\,$ For each sample, we use our method to estimate β from the measurements
- We compute the MSE, i.e. $\|\beta \beta^*\|^2$ over the sample.
- We repeat these for different values of N.

Convergence of the estimator in the random walk model example

- We generate 100 of different values of $\beta^*\coloneqq (q^*,r^*).$
- For each sample, we simulate the random walk model to get measurements y_0, \ldots, y_N .
- For each sample, we use our method to estimate β from the measurements
- We compute the MSE, i.e. $\|\beta \beta^*\|^2$ over the sample.
- We repeat these for different values of N.



28 / 37

Convergence of the estimator in the heat transfer example

- We repeat the same procedure for the heat transfer system, for $\alpha := \begin{bmatrix} 1/\tau_1 & 2/\tau_2 & b/\tau_1 \end{bmatrix}$
- We only generate 40 values of α .

Convergence of the estimator in the heat transfer example

- We repeat the same procedure for the heat transfer system, for $lpha := \begin{bmatrix} 1/ au_1 & 2/ au_2 & b/ au_1 \end{bmatrix}$
- We only generate 40 values of α .



Problem Statement

2 Description of the method

Open questions

4 The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

э

▶ ∢ ⊒

How to keep maximum degrees of freedom regarding $Q(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$?

э

How to keep maximum degrees of freedom regarding $Q(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$?

More precisely, how to deal with the following type of optimization problem ?

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\alpha,L,S,P}{\text{minimize}} & F(\alpha,L,S) \\ \text{subject to} & P \succ 0, \\ & L = A(\alpha)PC^{\top}S^{-1}, \\ & S \succ CPC^{\top}, \\ & P + LSL^{\top} \succ A(\alpha)PA(\alpha)^{\top} \end{array}$

An open question of MPC Stability

Parametric model for off-set free MPC

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{+} &= A(\mathbf{u}; \alpha) \mathbf{x} + b(\mathbf{u}; \alpha) + w_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{d}^{+} &= \mathbf{d} + w_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ \mathbf{y} &= C(\alpha) \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{v}, \\ \mathbf{w} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathbf{x}}}, Q(\beta)), \\ \mathbf{v} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathbf{y}}}, R(\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

э

э

An open question of MPC Stability

Parametric model for off-set free MPC

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{+} &= A(\mathbf{u}; \alpha) \mathbf{x} + b(\mathbf{u}; \alpha) + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{x}}, \\ \mathbf{d}^{+} &= \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ \mathbf{y} &= C(\alpha) \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{v}, \\ \mathbf{w} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathbf{x}}}, Q(\beta)), \\ \mathbf{v} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_{\mathbf{y}}}, R(\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

- The presented model provides an estimate for the parameter β that will provide the best predictions.
- What we actually want is an estimate for β that will provide the **best closed-loop** control performance.
- For example, $\operatorname{Cov}(w_k^d)$ plays an important role: if it is too high, the controller might destabilize the system, but if it is 0, offsets might remain in regulation problems.

- Problem Statement
- 2 Description of the method
- Open questions

4 The important particular case of linear time invariant systems (optional)

The important particular case of LTI systems

Parametric LTI with Gaussian Noise

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= A(\alpha)\mathbf{x}_k + b_k(\alpha) + \mathbf{w}_k, \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= C\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k, \\ \mathbf{w}_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_x}, Q(\beta)), \\ \mathbf{v}_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_y}, R(\beta)), \\ \mathbf{x}_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$k = 0, ..., N - 1,$$

 $k = 0, ..., N,$
 $k = 0, ..., N - 1,$
 $k = 0, ..., N,$
The important particular case of LTI systems

Parametric LTI with Gaussian Noise

$$\begin{split} x_{k+1} &= A(\alpha) x_k + b_k(\alpha) + w_k, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ y_k &= C x_k + v_k, & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ w_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0_{n_x}, Q(\beta)), & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ v_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0_{n_y}, R(\beta)), & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ x_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1}) & k = 0, \dots, N, \end{split}$$

In this case, the KF will quickly converge to its stationary state.

The important particular case of LTI systems

Parametric LTI with Gaussian Noise

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= A(\alpha) \mathbf{x}_k + b_k(\alpha) + \mathbf{w}_k, & k = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= C \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k, & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ \mathbf{w}_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_x}, Q(\beta)), & k = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ \mathbf{v}_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{n_y}, R(\beta)), & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ \mathbf{x}_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0|-1}, P_{0|-1}) \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the KF will quickly converge to its stationary state.

The discrete algebraic Ricatti equation

$$S = CPC^{\top} + R(\beta),$$

$$L = A(\alpha)PC^{\top}S^{-1},$$

$$P = A(\alpha)PA(\alpha)^{\top} - LSL^{\top} + Q(\beta)$$

The important particular case of LTI systems

By approximating the Kalman Filter equations with their stationary equivalents, the method boils down to:

Prediction error method for LTI systems

 $\alpha, \beta, S.L$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\alpha,\beta,S,L,P,\mathbf{x}}{\text{minimize}} & \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left\| y_{k} - C \hat{x}_{k|k-1} \right\|_{S^{-1}}^{2} + \log |S| \\ \text{subject to} & \hat{x}_{k+1|k} = (A(\alpha) - LC) \hat{x}_{k|k-1} + Ly_{k} + b_{k}(\alpha), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ & S = CPC^{\top} + R(\beta), \\ & L = A(\alpha)PC^{\top}S^{-1}, \\ & P = A(\alpha)PA(\alpha)^{\top} - LSL^{\top} + Q(\beta), \\ & P \succ 0, \\ & h(\alpha,\beta) > 0. \end{array}$$

The particular case of single-output LTI

Λ/

When $n_y = 1$, more simplifications can be made. Especially we let maximum degrees of freedom in $Q(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$:

Prediction error method for single-output LTI systems

 $\min_{\alpha,L,P,x}$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{N} (y_{k} - C\hat{x}_{k|k-1})^{2} \\ o \quad \hat{x}_{k+1|k} &= (A(\alpha) - LC)\hat{x}_{k|k-1} + Ly_{k} + b_{k}(\alpha), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ h(\alpha) &\ge 0, \\ L &= A(\alpha)PC^{\top}, \\ P &\succ 0, \\ P - A(\alpha)PA(\alpha)^{\top} + LSL^{\top} \succ 0, \\ CPC^{\top} &\le 1. \end{aligned}$$

How to keep maximum degrees of freedom regarding $Q(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$?

< □ > < 凸

э

How to keep maximum degrees of freedom regarding $Q(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$?

More precisely, how to deal with the following type of optimization problem ?

```
\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\alpha,L,P}{\text{minimize}} & F(\alpha,L) \\ \text{subject to} & P \succ 0, \\ & L = A(\alpha)PC^{\top}, \\ & CPC^{\top} \leq 1, \\ & P + LL^{\top} \succ A(\alpha)PA(\alpha)^{\top} \end{array}
```