Efficient Numerical Methods for Embedded Optimization in AWE Applications

AWESCO Kickoff Meeting - Andrea Zanelli

- 1 Overview and Motivation
- 2 Efficiency at Algorithmic Level: an Inexact SQP scheme with stability guarantees
- 3 Efficiency at Implementation Level: Efficient Linear Algebra for Embedded Optimization

4 Scope and Project Plan

1 Overview and Motivation

- 2 Efficiency at Algorithmic Level: an Inexact SQP scheme with stability guarantees
- 3 Efficiency at Implementation Level: Efficient Linear Algebra for Embedded Optimization
- 4 Scope and Project Plan

Few words about me...

Bachelors in Automation Technologies at Politecnico di Milano

Masters in Robotics, Systems and Control at ETH Zurich

- Internship at ABB Corporate Research Center on Embedded Model Predictive Control
- Master thesis at Embotech on NMPC
- Research assistant at ETH

AWESCO fellow at ALUFR





Challenging control problems:

- strongly nonlinearunstable dynamics
- presence of constraints

Challenging control problems:

- strongly nonlinear
- unstable dynamics
- presence of constraints

- \rightarrow need for advanced control techniques
- \rightarrow optimization-based solutions
- \rightarrow several open questions and challenges

Challenging control problems:

- strongly nonlinear
- unstable dynamics
- presence of constraints

- \rightarrow need for advanced control techniques
- \rightarrow optimization-based solutions
- \rightarrow several open questions and challenges

<u>main focus</u>: efficient numerical methods for embedded optimization



$$\max_{x} f(x)$$

s.t. $g(x) = 0$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{x} & f(x) \\ s.t. & g(x) &= 0 \\ & h(x) &\leq 0 \end{array}$$











$$\begin{array}{l} \max_{x} f(x) \\ s.t. \quad g(x) = 0 \\ h(x) \leq 0 \end{array} \longrightarrow \textcircled{} \qquad & \swarrow & \swarrow \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{integrate smart decision making into} \\ \text{embedded systems} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{challenges:} \\ - \text{ efficiency at algorithmic level} \\ - \text{ efficiency at implementation level} \end{array}$$

1 Overview and Motivation

- 2 Efficiency at Algorithmic Level: an Inexact SQP scheme with stability guarantees
- 3 Efficiency at Implementation Level: Efficient Linear Algebra for Embedded Optimization
- 4 Scope and Project Plan











$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{x_0, \dots, x_N \\ u_0, \dots, u_{N-1}}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(x_i^T Q x_i + u_i^T R u_i \right) \\ s.t. & x_0 - \bar{x}_0 = 0 \\ & x_{i+1} = f(x_i^k, u_i^k) + A_i^k (x_i - x_i^k) + B_i^k (u_i - u_i^k) \\ & x_N = 0 \end{split}$$

$$\min_{\substack{x_0, \dots, x_N \\ u_0, \dots, u_{N-1}}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (x_i^T Q x_i + u_i^T R u_i)$$
s.t. $x_0 - \bar{x}_0 = 0$
 $x_{i+1} = f(x_i^k, u_i^k) + A_i^k(x_i - x_i^k) + B_i^k(u_i - u_i^k)$
 $x_N = 0$

$$A_i^{\mathbf{k}} = A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(0,0) \quad B_i^{\mathbf{k}} = B = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}(0,0)$$

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{x_0, \dots, x_N \\ u_0, \dots, u_{N-1}}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(x_i^T Q x_i + u_i^T R u_i \right) \\ s.t. \quad x_0 - \bar{x}_0 = 0 \\ x_{i+1} = f(x_i^k, u_i^k) + A_i^k (x_i - x_i^k) + B_i^k (u_i - u_i^k) \\ x_N = 0 \end{split}$$

$$A_i^k = A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(0,0) \quad B_i^k = B = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}(0,0)$$

no sensitivity generation

offline condensing

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{x_0, \dots, x_N \\ u_0, \dots, u_{N-1}}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(x_i^T Q x_i + u_i^T R u_i \right) \\ s.t. \quad x_0 - \bar{x}_0 = 0 \\ x_{i+1} = f(x_i^k, u_i^k) + A_i^k (x_i - x_i^k) + B_i^k (u_i - u_i^k) \\ x_N = 0 \end{split}$$

$$A_i^k = A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(0,0) \quad B_i^k = B = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}(0,0)$$

no sensitivity generation

offline condensing

 \rightarrow only QP solve and <u>forward simulation</u> [Bock et al, 2007]

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{x_0, \dots, x_N \\ u_0, \dots, u_{N-1}}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(x_i^T Q x_i + u_i^T R u_i \right) \\ s.t. \quad x_0 - \bar{x}_0 = 0 \\ x_{i+1} = f(x_i^k, u_i^k) + A_i^k (x_i - x_i^k) + B_i^k (u_i - u_i^k) \\ x_N = 0 \end{split}$$

$$A_i^k = A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(0,0) \quad B_i^k = B = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}(0,0)$$

no sensitivity generation

offline condensing

 \rightarrow only <u>QP solve</u> and <u>forward simulation</u> [Bock et al, 2007] \rightarrow how is stability affected?

Result: stability can be guaranteed



- → stability preserved [Zanelli, Quirynen, Diehl 2016 (submitted)]
- ightarrow feasibility guaranteed

Result: stability can be guaranteed



- \rightarrow stability preserved [Zanelli, Quirynen, Diehl 2016 (submitted)]
- \rightarrow feasibility guaranteed
- \rightarrow computational burden reduced up to 70%

- 1 Overview and Motivation
- 2 Efficiency at Algorithmic Level: an Inexact SQP scheme with stability guarantees
- 3 Efficiency at Implementation Level: Efficient Linear Algebra for Embedded Optimization
- 4 Scope and Project Plan

- main operations boil down to linear algebra routines:
 - matrix multiplications
 - matrix factorizations
 - ...

- main operations boil down to linear algebra routines:
 - matrix multiplications
 - matrix factorizations
 - ...

- main operations boil down to **linear algebra** routines:
 - matrix multiplications
 - matrix factorizations
 - ...

- how to improve efficiency?
 - reduce algorithm complexity
 - optimize code efficiency

- main operations boil down to **linear algebra** routines:
 - matrix multiplications
 - matrix factorizations
 - ...

- how to improve efficiency?
 - reduce algorithm complexity
 - optimize code efficiency

- modern CPUs have complex architectures:
 - caching effects
 - vectorized instruction
 - highly pipelined

- main operations boil down to **linear algebra** routines:
 - matrix multiplications
 - matrix factorizations
 - ...

main computational bottleneck

- how to improve efficiency?
 - reduce algorithm complexity
 - optimize code efficiency

modern CPUs have complex architectures:

- caching effects
- vectorized instruction
- highly pipelined
- how much do we gain?

- main operations boil down to **linear algebra** routines:
 - matrix multiplications
 - matrix factorizations
 - ...

- how to improve efficiency?
 - reduce algorithm complexity
 - optimize code efficiency

- modern CPUs have complex architectures:
 - caching effects
 - vectorized instruction
 - highly pipelined
- how much do we gain? a lot! [Frison, 2013]



- 1 Overview and Motivation
- 2 Efficiency at Algorithmic Level: an Inexact SQP scheme with stability guarantees
- 3 Efficiency at Implementation Level: Efficient Linear Algebra for Embedded Optimization
- 4 Scope and Project Plan

Objectives:

- tackle challenging control problems in AWE applications
- development of novel numerical methods
- efficiency at both algorithmic and implementation level

Secondments:

- Chalmers 2 months
- Makani 2 months
- ETH Zurich 2 months