# Newton Type Optimization in a Nutshell

Moritz Diehl

March 27, 2015

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

## Overview

- Equality Constrained Optimization
- Optimality Conditions and Multipliers
- Newton's Method = SQP
- Inequality Constraints
- Constrained Gauss Newton Method

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- How to solve QP subproblems?
- Interior Point Methods

# General Nonlinear Program (NLP)

In direct methods, we have to solve the discretized optimal control problem, which is a Nonlinear Program (NLP)

$$\min_{w} F(w) \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} G(w) = 0, \\ H(w) \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

We first treat the case without inequalities.

$$\min_{w} F(w) \text{ s.t. } G(w) = 0,$$

## Lagrange Function and Optimality Conditions

Introduce Lagrangian function

$$\mathcal{L}(w,\lambda) = F(w) - \lambda^T G(w)$$

Then for an optimal solution  $w^*$  exist multipliers  $\lambda^*$  such that

$$egin{array}{rcl} 
abla_w \mathcal{L}(w^*,\lambda^*) &=& 0, \ G(w^*) &=& 0, \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Newton's Method on Optimality Conditions

How to solve nonlinear equations

$$abla_w \mathcal{L}(w^*, \lambda^*) = 0,$$
  
 $G(w^*) = 0,$  ?

Linearize!

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \nabla_{w}\mathcal{L}(w^{k},\lambda^{k}) & +\nabla_{w}^{2}\mathcal{L}(w^{k},\lambda^{k})\Delta w & -\nabla_{w}G(w^{k})\Delta\lambda & = & 0, \\ G(w^{k}) & +\nabla_{w}G(w^{k})^{T}\Delta w & = & 0, \end{array}$$

This is equivalent, due to  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(w^k, \lambda^k) = \nabla F(w^k) - \nabla G(w^k)\lambda^k$ , with the shorthand  $\lambda^+ = \lambda^k + \Delta\lambda$ , to

$$\begin{array}{lll} \nabla_w F(w^k) & + \nabla_w^2 \mathcal{L}(w^k, \lambda^k) \Delta w & - \nabla_w G(w^k) \lambda^+ &= 0, \\ G(w^k) & + \nabla_w G(w^k)^T \Delta w &= 0, \end{array}$$

▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへで

### Newton Step = Quadratic Program

Conditions

$$\begin{array}{lll} \nabla_w F(w^k) & + \nabla_w^2 \mathcal{L}(w^k, \lambda^k) \Delta w & - \nabla_w G(w^k) \lambda^+ &= 0, \\ G(w^k) & + \nabla_w G(w^k)^T \Delta w &= 0, \end{array}$$

are optimality conditions of a quadratic program (QP), namely:

$$\min_{\Delta w} \quad \nabla F(w^k)^T \Delta w + \frac{1}{2} \Delta w^T A^k \Delta w$$
  
s.t. 
$$G(w^k) + \nabla G(w^k)^T \Delta w = 0,$$

with

$$A^k = \nabla^2_w \mathcal{L}(w^k, \lambda^k)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

## Newton's Method

The full step Newton's Method iterates by solving in each iteration the Quadratic Progam

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\Delta w} & \nabla F(w^k)^T \Delta w + \frac{1}{2} \Delta w^T A^k \Delta w \\ \text{s.t.} & G(w^k) + \nabla G(w^k)^T \Delta w = 0, \end{array}$$

with  $A^k = \nabla^2_w \mathcal{L}(w^k, \lambda^k)$ . This obtains as solution the step  $\Delta w^k$ and the new multiplier  $\lambda_{\text{QP}}^+ = \lambda^k + \Delta \lambda^k$ . Then we iterate:

$$\begin{aligned} w^{k+1} &= w^k + \Delta w^k \\ \lambda^{k+1} &= \lambda^k + \Delta \lambda^k = \lambda_{\rm QP}^+ \end{aligned}$$

This Newton's method is also called "Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP) for equality constrained optimization" (with "exact Hessian" and "full steps")

Regard again NLP with both, equalities and inequalities:

$$\min_{w} F(w) \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} G(w) = 0, \\ H(w) \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Introduce Lagrangian function

$$\mathcal{L}(w,\lambda,\mu) = F(w) - \lambda^{T}G(w) - \mu^{T}H(w)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Optimality Conditions with Inequalities

**THEOREM**(Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions) For an optimal solution  $w^*$  exist multipliers  $\lambda^*$  and  $\mu^*$  such that

$$egin{array}{rcl} 
abla_w \mathcal{L}(w^*,\lambda^*,\mu^*)&=&0,\ G(w^*)&=&0,\ H(w^*)&\geq&0,\ \mu^*&\geq&0,\ H(w^*)^T\mu^*&=&0, \end{array}$$

These contain nonsmooth conditions (the last three) which are called "complementarity conditions". This system cannot be solved by Newton's Method. But still with SQP...

## Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP)

By Linearizing all functions within the KKT Conditions, and setting  $\lambda^+ = \lambda^k + \Delta \lambda$  and  $\mu^+ = \mu^k + \Delta \mu$ , we obtain the KKT conditions of a Quadratic Program (QP) (we omit these conditions). This QP is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\Delta w} & \nabla F(w^k)^T \Delta w + \frac{1}{2} \Delta w^T A^k \Delta w \\ \text{s.t.} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(w^k) + \nabla G(w^k)^T \Delta w &= 0, \\ H(w^k) + \nabla H(w^k)^T \Delta w &\geq 0, \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

with

$$A^k = \nabla^2_w \mathcal{L}(w^k, \lambda^k, \mu^k)$$

and its solution delivers

$$\Delta w^k$$
,  $\lambda_{\rm QP}^+$ ,  $\mu_{\rm QP}^+$ 

### Constrained Gauss-Newton Method

In special case of least squares objectives

$$F(w) = rac{1}{2} \|R(w)\|_2^2$$

can approximate Hessian  $abla^2_w \mathcal{L}(w^k,\lambda^k,\mu^k)$  by much cheaper

$$A^k = \nabla R(w) \nabla R(w)^T.$$

Need no multipliers to compute  $A^k$ ! QP= linear least squares:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Delta w} & \frac{1}{2} \| R(w^k) + \nabla R(w^k)^T \Delta w \|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \frac{G(w^k) + \nabla G(w^k)^T \Delta w}{H(w^k) + \nabla H(w^k)^T \Delta w} &= 0, \end{split}$$

Convergence: linear (better if  $||R(w^*)||$  small)

## Overview

- Equality Constrained Optimization
- Optimality Conditions and Multipliers
- Newton's Method = SQP
- Inequality Constraints
- Constrained Gauss Newton Method
- How to solve QP subproblems?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Interior Point Methods

#### How to solve QP subproblems?

For an equality constrained QP

$$\min_{w} g^{T} w + \frac{1}{2} w^{T} A w \quad \text{s.t.} \quad b + B w = 0,$$

the solution  $(w, \lambda)$  is just solution of one linear system:

In case of inequalities, two variants exist:

- Active Set Methods (similar to simplex for LP)
- Interior Point Methods

#### Interior Point Methods

Regard inequality constrained QP in standard form

$$\min_{w} g^{T} w + \frac{1}{2} w^{T} A w \text{ s.t.} \qquad \begin{array}{c} b + B w = 0, \\ w \ge 0, \end{array}$$

Idea: penalize inequalities by barrier function  $-\tau \log(w)$ , let  $\tau$  go to zero.

$$\min_{w} g^{T} w + \frac{1}{2} w^{T} A w - \tau \sum_{i} \log(w_{i}) \text{ s.t. } b + B w = 0,$$

Solve each  $\tau$ -problem with Newton type method. Can show

- error goes to zero for au 
  ightarrow 0
- if \(\tau\) is reduced each time by a constant factor, and each new problem is initialized at old solution, the number of Newton iterations is bounded (polynomial complexity!)

Non-Linear Systems in Interior Point Methods

Optimality conditions for

$$\min_{w} g^{T} w + \frac{1}{2} w^{T} A w - \tau \sum_{i} \log(w_{i}) \text{ s.t. } b + B w = 0,$$

can be shown to be equivalent to system in variables  $(w, \lambda, \mu)$ 

$$g + Aw - B^T \lambda - \mu = 0,$$
  

$$b + Bw = 0,$$
  

$$w_i \mu_i = \tau, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Only last condition is non-linear, it replaces the last KKT condition. The system can be solved by Newton's method.

# Summary Newton type Optimization

- Newton type optimization solves the necessary optimality conditions
- Newton's method linearizes the nonlinear system in each iteration
- for constraints, need Lagrangian function, and KKT conditions
- for equalities KKT conditions are smooth, can apply Newton's method
- for inequalities KKT conditions are non-smooth, can apply Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP)
- QPs with inequalities can be solved with interior point methods
- Also NLPs with inequalities can be solved with interior point methods (e.g. by the IPOPT solver)

#### Literature

 J. Nocedal and S. Wright: Numerical Optimization, Springer, 2006 (2nd edition)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe: Convex Optimization, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004