Newton Type Optimization (Unconstrained)

Moritz Diehl University of Freiburg and University of Leuven

(some slide material was provided by W. Bangerth and K. Mombaur)

Aim of Newton type optimization algorithms


$\min f(x) \quad (x \in R^n)$

• Find a local minimizer x* of f(x), i.e. a point satisfying

 $\nabla f(x^*)=0$

Derivative based algorithms

Fundamental underlying structure of most algorithms:




• Optimization algorithms differ in the choice of p und α

Basic algorithm:


Search direction:

choose descent direction (f should be decreased)




Step length:

solve1-d minimization approximately, satisfy Armijo condition



Computation of step length

- Dream:
 - exact line search: $\alpha^{k} = \arg\min_{\alpha} f(x^{k} + \alpha p^{k})$
- In practice:
 - inexact line search: $\alpha^k \approx \arg \min f(x^k + \alpha p^k)$
 - ensure sufficient decrease, e.g. Ar^αmijo condition



How to compute search direction?

• We discuss three algorithms:

- Steepest descent method
- Newton's method
- Newton type methods

Algorithm 1: Steepest descent method

Based on first order Taylor series approximation of objective function

$$f(x_k + p_k) = f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^T p_k + \dots$$

• maximum descent, if

$$\frac{\nabla f(x_k)^T p_k}{||p_k||} \rightarrow \min!$$


$$\Rightarrow p_k = -\nabla f(x_k)$$


Steepest descent method

Choose steepest descent search direction, perform (exact) line search:

$$p^{k} = -\nabla f(x^{k}) \qquad x^{k+1} = x^{k} - \alpha^{k} \nabla f(x^{k})$$

search direction is perpendicular to level sets of f(x)





Convergence of steepest descent method


steepest descent method has linear convergence


i.e.
$$||x^k - x^*|| \le C ||x^{k-1} - x^*||$$

- gain is a fixed factor C<1</p>
- convergence can be very slow if C close to 1


If $f(x) = x^T A x$, A positive definite, λ eigenvalues of A, one can show that

$$\Rightarrow C \approx \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}}$$






Example - steepest descent method




$$f(x, y) = \sqrt[4]{(x - y^2)^2 + \frac{1}{100}} + \frac{1}{100}y^2$$

banana valley function, global minimum at x=y=0



Example - steepest descent method



Convergence of steepest descent method:

- needs almost 35.000 iterations to come closer than 0.1 to the solution
- mean value of convergence constant C: 0.99995
- at (x=4, y=2), there holds

$$\lambda_1 = 0.1, \lambda_2 = 268 \implies C \approx \frac{268 - 0.1}{268 + 0.1} \approx 0.9993$$

Algorithm 2: Newton's Method

• Based on **second order** Taylor series approximation of f(x)

$$f(x_{k} + p_{k}) = f(x_{k}) + \nabla f(x_{k})^{T} p_{k} + \frac{1}{2} p_{k}^{T} \nabla^{2} f(x_{k}) p_{k} + \dots$$
$$\nabla f(x_{k})^{T} p_{k} + \frac{1}{2} p_{k}^{T} \nabla^{2} f(x_{k}) p_{k} \to \min!$$


$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \nabla^2 f(x_k) \ p_k = -\nabla f(x_k)$$


"Newton-Direction" $p_k = -(\nabla^2 f(x_k))^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)$

Visualization of Newton's method


p_k minimizes quadratic approximation of the objective

$$Q(p^{k}) = f(x^{k}) + \nabla f(x^{k})p^{k} + \frac{1}{2}p^{k^{T}}\nabla^{2}f(x^{k})p^{k}$$





if quadratic model is good, then take full step with $\alpha^k = 1$



Convergence of Newton's method


Newton's method has *quadratic convergence*

.e.
$$||x^{k} - x^{*}|| \le C ||x^{k-1} - x^{*}||^{2}$$


This is *very fast* close to a solution:

Correct digits double in each iteration!

Example - Newton's method



Example - Newton's method




Convergence of Newton's method:

- less than 25 iterations for an accuracy of better than 10⁻⁷!
- convergence roughly *linear* for first 15-20 iterations since step length $\alpha_k \neq 1$
- convergence roughly *quadratic* for last iterations with step length

 $\alpha_k = 1$

Comparison of steepest descent and Newton



For banana valley example:

- Newton's method much faster than steepest descent method (factor 1000)
- Newton's method superior due to higher order of convergence
- steepest descent method converges too slowly for practical applications

Algorithm 3: Newton type methods

In practice, evaluation of second derivatives for the hessian can be difficult!

- → approximate hessian matrix $\nabla^2 f(x^k)$
- → often methods ensure that the approximation B_k is positive definite

 $x^{k+1} = x^k - B_k^{-1} \nabla f(x^k)$ $B_k \approx \nabla^2 f(x^k)$

methods are collectively known as Newton type methods

Notation:

$$p_k := x_{k+1} - x_k = -B_k^{-1} \nabla f(x^k)$$

• Steepest Descent:

$$B_k = I$$

Convergence rate: linear

• Newton Method:

$$B_k = \nabla^2 f(x^k)$$

Convergence rate: quadratic

Newton type variants (continued)

• **BFGS** update (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb, Shanno)

$$B_{k+1} = \underset{B_{k+1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|B_{k+1}^{-1} - B_{k}^{-1}\|_{W,F}^{2}$$

s.t. $B_{k+1} p_{k} = \nabla f(x^{k+1}) - \nabla f(x^{k})$
 $B_{k+1} = B_{k+1}^{T}$

Convergence rate: super-linear

• For Least-Squares Problems: Gauss-Newton Method

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|F(x)\|^2 \quad J(x) = \frac{\partial F(x)^T}{\partial x}$$
$$B_k = J(x^k)^T J(x^k)$$

Convergence rate: linear

Summary: Unconstrained Newton Type Optimization

- Aim: find **local minima** of smooth nonlinear problems: $\nabla f(x^*)=0$
- Derivative based methods iterate $x_{i+1} = x_i + \alpha_i p_i$ with
 - search direction p_i and step length α_i .
 - start at initial guess x₀,
- Four Newton type methods:
 - steepest descent: intuitive, but slow linear convergence
 - exact Newton's method: very fast quadratic convergence
 - BFGS: fast superlinear convergence
 - Gauss-Newton (only for least-squares): fast linear convergence

Literature

- J. Nocedal, S. Wright: Numerical Optimization, Springer, 1999/2006
- P. E. Gill, W. Murray, M. H. Wright: Practical Optimization, Academic Press, 1981
- R. Fletcher, Practical Methods of Optimization, Wiley, 1987
- D. E. Luenberger: Linear and Nonlinear Programming, Addison Wesley, 1984